## 17 The car axis problem

### 17.1 General information

The problem is a stiff DAE of index 3 , consisting of 8 differential and 2 algebraic equations. It has been taken from [Sch94]. Since not all initial conditions were given, we have chosen a consistent set of initial conditions. The software part of the problem is in the file caraxis.f available at [MM08].

### 17.2 Mathematical description of the problem

The problem is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} & =q  \tag{II.17.1}\\
K q^{\prime} & =f(t, p, \lambda), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{4}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 3  \tag{II.17.2}\\
0 & =\phi(t, p) \tag{II.17.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with initial conditions $p(0)=p_{0}, q(0)=q_{0}, p^{\prime}(0)=q_{0}, q^{\prime}(0)=q_{0}^{\prime}, \lambda(0)=\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda^{\prime}(0)=\lambda_{0}^{\prime}$.
The matrix $K$ reads $\varepsilon^{2} \frac{M}{2} I_{4}$, where $I_{4}$ is the $4 \times 4$ identity matrix. The function $f: \mathbb{R}^{7} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is given by

$$
f(t, p, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(L_{0}-L_{l}\right) \frac{x_{l}}{L_{l}} & +\lambda_{1} x_{b}+2 \lambda_{2}\left(x_{l}-x_{r}\right) \\
\left(L_{0}-L_{l}\right) \frac{y_{l}}{L_{l}} & +\lambda_{1} y_{b}+2 \lambda_{2}\left(y_{l}-y_{r}\right)-\varepsilon^{2} \frac{M}{2} \\
\left(L_{0}-L_{r}\right) \frac{x_{r}-x_{b}}{L_{r}} & -2 \lambda_{2}\left(x_{l}-x_{r}\right) \\
\left(L_{0}-L_{r}\right) \frac{y_{r}-y_{b}}{L_{r}} & -2 \lambda_{2}\left(y_{l}-y_{r}\right)-\varepsilon^{2} \frac{M}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}, x_{r}, y_{r}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}:=p$, and $L_{l}$ and $L_{r}$ are given by

$$
\sqrt{x_{l}^{2}+y_{l}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \sqrt{\left(x_{r}-x_{b}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{r}-y_{b}\right)^{2}}
$$

Furthermore, the functions $x_{b}(t)$ and $y_{b}(t)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{b}(t) & =\sqrt{L^{2}-y_{b}^{2}(t)}  \tag{II.17.4}\\
y_{b}(t) & =h \sin (\omega t) \tag{II.17.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ reads

$$
\phi(t, p)=\binom{x_{l} x_{b}+y_{l} y_{b}}{\left(x_{l}-x_{r}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{l}-y_{r}\right)^{2}-L^{2}}
$$

The constants are listed below.

$$
\begin{array}{|lrr|llr|ll|ll|}
\hline L & = & 1 & \epsilon & = & 10^{-2} & h & = & 10^{-1} & \omega=10 \\
L_{0} & = & 1 / 2 & M & = & 10 & \tau & = & \pi / 5 & \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Consistent initial values are

$$
p_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 / 2 \\
1 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right), \quad q_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
-1 / 2 \\
0 \\
-1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad q_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{2}{M \varepsilon^{2}} f\left(0, p_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right), \quad p_{0}^{\prime}=q_{0}, \quad \lambda_{0}=\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=(0,0)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

The index of the variables $p, q$ and $\lambda$ is 1,2 and 3 , respectively.

### 17.3 Origin of the problem

The car axis problem is an example of a rather simple multibody system, in which the behavior of a car axis on a bumpy road is modeled by a set of differential-algebraic equations.

A simplification of the car is depicted in Figure II.17.1. We model the situation that the left wheel


Figure II.17.1: Modelnn of the car axis.
at the origin $(0,0)$ rolls on a flat surface and the right wheel at coordinates $\left(x_{b}, y_{b}\right)$ rolls over a hill of height $h$ every $\tau$ seconds ${ }^{2}$. This means that $y_{b}$ varies over time according to (II.17.5). The length of the axis, denoted by $L$, remains constant over time, which means that $x_{b}$ has to fulfill (II.17.4). Two springs carry over the movement of the axis between the wheels to the chassis of the car, which is represented by the bar $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)-\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ of mass $M$. The two springs are assumed to be massless and have Hooke's constant $1 / \epsilon^{2}$ and length $L_{0}$ at rest.

There are two position constraints. Firstly, the distance between ( $x_{l}, y_{l}$ ) and ( $x_{r}, y_{r}$ ) must remain constantly $L$ and secondly, for simplicity of the model, we assume that the left spring remains orthogonal to the axis. If we identify $p$ with the vector $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}, x_{r}, y_{r}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$, then we see that Equation (II.17.3) reflects these constraints.

Using Lagrangian mechanics, the equations of motions for the car axis are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} p}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}=F_{\mathrm{H}}+G^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda+F_{\mathrm{g}} \tag{II.17.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $G$ is the $2 \times 4$ Jacobian matrix of the function $\phi$ with respect to $p$ and $\lambda$ is the 2 -dimensional vector containing the so-called Lagrange multipliers. The factor $M / 2$ is explained by the fact that the mass $M$ is divided equally over $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$ and $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$. The force $F_{\mathrm{H}}$ represents the spring forces:

$$
F_{\mathrm{H}}=-\left(\cos \left(\alpha_{l}\right) F_{l}, \sin \left(\alpha_{l}\right) F_{l}, \cos \left(\alpha_{r}\right) F_{r}, \sin \left(\alpha_{r}\right) F_{r}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

[^0]where $F_{l}$ and $F_{r}$ are the forces induced by the left and right spring, respectively, according to Hooke's law:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{l} & =\left(L_{l}-L_{0}\right) / \epsilon^{2}, \\
F_{r} & =\left(L_{r}-L_{0}\right) / \epsilon^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Here, $L_{l}$ and $L_{r}$ are the actual lengths of the left and right spring, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{l} & =\sqrt{x_{l}^{2}+y_{l}^{2}} \\
L_{r} & =\sqrt{\left(x_{r}-x_{b}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{r}-y_{b}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $\alpha_{l}$ and $\alpha_{r}$ are the angles of the left and right spring with respect to the horizontal axis of the coordinate system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{l} & =\arctan \left(y_{l} / x_{l}\right) \\
\alpha_{r} & =\arctan \left(\left(y_{r}-y_{b}\right) /\left(x_{r}-x_{b}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, $F_{g}$ represents the gravitational force

$$
F_{g}=-(0,1,0,1)^{\mathrm{T}} \frac{M}{2} g
$$

The original formulation [Sch94] sets $g=1$.
We rewrite (II.17.6) as a system of first order differential equations by introducing the velocity vector $q$, so that we obtain the first order differential equations (II.17.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} q}{\mathrm{~d} t}=F_{\mathrm{H}}+G^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda+F_{\mathrm{g}} \tag{II.17.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $f=F_{\mathrm{H}}+G^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda+F_{g}$, it is easily checked that multiplying (II.17.7) by $\varepsilon^{2}$ yields (II.17.2).
To arrive at a consistent set of initial values $p_{0}, q_{0}$ and $\lambda_{0}$, we have to solve the system of equations consisting of the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(t_{0}, p_{0}\right)=0 \tag{II.17.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the 1 up to $k-1$ times differentiated constraint (II.17.8), where $k$ is the highest variable index. To facilitate notation, we introduce $\tilde{p}:=\left(t, p^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and its derivative $\tilde{q}:=\frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{p}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left(1, q^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. The Jacobian of $\phi$ with respect to $\tilde{p}$ will be denoted by $\tilde{G}$. Here, $k=3$, yielding the additional conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}\left(\tilde{p}_{0}\right) \tilde{q}_{0}=0 \tag{II.17.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\phi_{\tilde{p} \tilde{p}}\left(\tilde{p}_{0}\right)\left(\tilde{q}_{0}, \tilde{q}_{0}\right)+\tilde{G}\left(\tilde{p}_{0}\right) \tilde{q}_{0}^{\prime}=0
$$

where $\phi_{\tilde{p} \tilde{p}}$ denotes the second derivative of $\phi$ with respect to $\tilde{p}$. Using (II.17.6) and the fact that the first component of $\tilde{q}_{0}^{\prime}$ vanishes, the latter condition equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\tilde{p} \tilde{p}}\left(\tilde{p}_{0}\right)\left(\tilde{q}_{0}, \tilde{q}_{0}\right)+\frac{2}{M} G\left(p_{0}\right)\left(F_{\mathrm{H}}\left(p_{0}\right)+G^{\mathrm{T}}\left(p_{0}\right) \lambda_{0}+F_{\mathrm{g}}\left(p_{0}\right)\right)=0 \tag{II.17.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (II.17.8)-(II.17.10) are solved for

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{r} & =L \\
x_{l} & =0 \\
y_{r} & =y_{l}=L_{0} \\
x_{r}^{\prime} & =x_{l}^{\prime}=-\frac{L_{0}}{L} \frac{2 \pi}{\tau} h \\
y_{r}^{\prime} & =\frac{L^{2} \tau}{2 \pi h \varepsilon^{2} M}\left(2 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right), \\
y_{l}^{\prime} & =\frac{L^{2} \tau}{2 \pi \varepsilon^{2} h M}\left(2 \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \pm \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{-8 \lambda_{2}+2 \lambda_{1}}{M}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$, we arrive at the initial conditions listed in $\S 17.2$,

Table II.17.1: Reference solution at the end of the integration interval.

| $y_{1}$ | $0.493455784275402809122 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $y_{6}$ | $0.744686658723778553466 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{2}$ | 0.496989460230171153861 | $y_{7}$ | $0.175568157537232222276 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| $y_{3}$ | $0.104174252488542151681 \cdot 10$ | $y_{8}$ | 0.770341043779251976443 |
| $y_{4}$ | 0.373911027265361256927 | $y_{9}$ | $-0.473688659084893324729 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| $y_{5}$ | $-0.770583684040972357970 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $y_{10}$ | $-0.110468033125734368808 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |

### 17.4 Numerical solution of the problem

Tables II.17.1-II.17.2 and Figures II.17.2-II.17.3 present the reference solution at the end of the integration interval, the run characteristics, the behavior of some solution components over the integration interval and the work-precision diagrams, respectively. The reference solution was computed on using quadruple precision GAMD on an Alphaserver DS20E, with a 667 MHz EV67 processor. atol $=$ rtol $=h_{0}=10^{-24}$. For the work-precision diagrams, we used: rtol $=10^{-(4+m / 4)}$, $m=0,1, \ldots, 24 ;$ atol $=\mathrm{rtol} ; \mathrm{h} 0=$ rtol for BIMD, GAMD, MEBDFDAE, MEBDFI, RADAU and RADAU5.
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Table II.17.2: Run characteristics.

| solver | rtol | atol | h0 | mescd | scd | steps | accept | \#f | \#Jac | \#LU | CPU |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BIMD | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | 2.19 | 0.34 | 71 | 71 | 1693 | 71 | 71 | 0.0088 |
|  | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | 5.47 | 3.34 | 138 | 138 | 4511 | 138 | 138 | 0.0224 |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | 8.01 | 5.35 | 235 | 235 | 9669 | 235 | 235 | 0.0488 |
| GAMD | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | 1.98 | 0.39 | 39 | 39 | 2169 | 39 | 39 | 0.0088 |
|  | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | 4.82 | 2.64 | 98 | 98 | 7167 | 98 | 98 | 0.0293 |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | 6.50 | 3.84 | 179 | 179 | 18771 | 179 | 179 | 0.0742 |
| MEBDFI | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | 0.88 | -0.23 | 280 | 278 | 1246 | 27 | 27 | 0.0059 |
|  | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | 4.65 | 3.34 | 650 | 648 | 2797 | 47 | 47 | 0.0137 |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | 4.21 | 2.08 | 1393 | 1391 | 5624 | 85 | 85 | 0.0264 |
| PSIDE-1 | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ |  | 0.83 | -0.28 | 55 | 54 | 1403 | 42 | 220 | 0.0098 |
|  | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ |  | 4.41 | 2.27 | 179 | 172 | 4103 | 83 | 464 | 0.0273 |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ |  | 7.25 | 4.86 | 625 | 612 | 13751 | 115 | 964 | 0.0869 |
| RADAU | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | 1.34 | 0.19 | 98 | 97 | 850 | 95 | 98 | 0.0039 |
|  | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | $10^{-7}$ | 3.73 | 2.51 | 289 | 288 | 2559 | 282 | 288 | 0.0127 |
|  | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | $10^{-10}$ | 5.99 | 4.22 | 179 | 178 | 4281 | 169 | 179 | 0.0166 |



Figure II.17.2: Behavior of $\left(x_{l}, y_{l}\right)$ and $\left(x_{r}, y_{r}\right)$ over the integration interval.


Figure II.17.3: Work-precision diagram (scd versus CPU-time).


Figure II.17.4: Work-precision diagram (scd versus CPU-time).


Figure II.17.5: Work-precision diagram (mescd versus CPU-time).


Figure II.17.6: Work-precision diagram (mescd versus CPU-time).


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ in the source fortran file the variable $r$ stands for $h$

